

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/750,166	Applicant(s) MANBER ET AL.	
	Examiner Gregory M. Desire	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) Gregory M. Desire.

(2) Applicant's representative Kevan Morgan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 September 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12 and 22-28

Prior art documents discussed:

6,609,105 (Van Zoest et al)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative on 8/31/06, regarding the patentability of claim 12. Applicant's representative agreed to amend claim 12. On 9/1/06, examiner received an email of the amended claim 12. The examiner agreed to enter the claim through examiner's amendment. Subsequently, examiner found art (6,609,105) relating to claims 22-28. Thus, contacting applicant's representative on 9/1/06 and mentioning art was found relating to claims 22-28. Examiner stated the examiners amendment will no longer be entered and an advisory will be prepared. On 9/5/06, an advisory was prepared for counting. Later that day applicant's representative called the examiner and would like to cancel claims 22-28 and would like to amend claim 12 as previously emailed 9/1/06, thus agreeing to an examiner's amendment. This was requested prior to the mailing of the advisory. Therefore, an agreement was reached regarding an examiner's amendment prior to the mailing the date of the advisory, no extension of time is needed,